

**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State Agencies (SA) to report the results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the SA to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the SA publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name: St Luke School**

**School Agreement Number: 205-25-840-4**

**Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): January 26, 2021**

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority (SFA): February 1, 2021**

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

[ ]  School Breakfast Program

[x]  National School Lunch Program

[ ]  Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

[ ]  Afterschool Snack

[ ]  Special Milk Program

[ ]  Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

[ ]  Community Eligibility Provision

[ ]  Special Provision 1

[ ]  Special Provision 2

[ ]  Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

[x]  Yes [ ]  No

1. Is there fiscal action associated with findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

[ ]  Yes [x]  No

|  |
| --- |
| **REVIEW FINDINGS** |
| 1. **Program Access and Reimbursement**
 |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Certification and Benefit Issuance** – Validation of the SFA certification of students’ eligibility for free or reduced-price meals |
| Finding Detail: |
| [x]  | [ ]  | **Verification** – Validation of the process used by the SFA to confirm selected students’ eligibility for free and reduced-price meals |
| Finding Detail: The SFA incorrectly reported the number of students in the Verification Collection Report. |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Meal Counting and Claiming** – Validation of the SFA meal counting and claiming system that accurately counts, records, consolidates, and reports the number of reimbursable meals claimed, by category |
| Finding Detail: |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality**
 |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [x]  | [ ]  | **Meal Components and Quantities** – Validation that meals claimed for reimbursement contain the required meal components (also referred to as food components) and quantities |
| Finding Detail: Planned menu quantities did not meet the meal pattern requirements for the review period of November 9-13, 2020, for the age/grade group(s) being served. Specific issues included problems with vegetable sub-groups and grain requirements. |
| [x]  | [ ]  | **Offer versus Serve (provision that allows students to decline some of the food components offered)** – Validation of SFA compliance with OVS requirements if applicable |
| Finding Detail: The SFA does not provide evidence that staff members are trained in the requirements for Offer vs. Serve. Offer versus Serve (OVS) is not being implemented correctly. Foodservice staff required students to take an additional food item (milk) when the student had selected a reimbursable meal. |
| [x]  | [ ]  | **Dietary Specifications and Nutrient** **Analysis** – Validation that meals offered to children through the school meal programs are consistent with federal standards for calories, saturated fat, sodium, and *trans* fat |
| Finding Detail:During a review of the test week of November 9, 2020, it was determined that the Nutrient Analysis was not valid. The Food component report failed to meet the requirements for the School meal pattern. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **School Nutrition Environment**
 |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Food Safety** – Validation that all selected schools meet the food safety and storage requirements, and comply with the Buy American provisions specified by regulation |
| Finding Detail: |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Local School Wellness Policy** – Review of the SFA’s established Local School Wellness Policy  |
| Finding Detail: |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Competitive Foods** – Validation of the SFA compliance with regulations for all food and beverages to students outside of the reimbursable meal |
| Finding Detail: |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Professional Standards** – Validation of SFA compliance with required hiring standards and annual training requirements  |
| Finding Detail: |

|  |
| --- |
| **D. Civil Rights** |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [x]  | [ ]  | **Civil Rights –** Validation of SFA compliance with civil rights requirements as applicable to the Child Nutrition Programs |
| Finding Detail: The Sponsor is attempting to resolve in-house complaints alleging Civil Rights discrimination within the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) School Meal Programs. The Sponsor was not using the Civil Rights statement on all Program materials. Prior to the review, the SFA could not provide evidence of NDS on the school website. The SFA did meet the request of the State Agency by providing evidence of the NDS on the school website prior to the exit conference. |

|  |
| --- |
| **E. Resource Management** |
| **YES** | **NO** |  |
| [ ]  | [x]  | **Resource Management –** Validation of SFA compliance with overall financial health of the school food service account |
| Finding Detail: |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | **Other** |
| Finding Detail: |

|  |
| --- |
| **COMMENDATIONS** |
| **F. Recognition of Accomplishment** |
| The SFA was receptive to all areas of technical assistance.The SFA provided all documentation requested prior the review. |